UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,029	04/21/2005	Zdenek Krulis	J126-021 US	8328	
	7590 01/22/200 ICHALOS P.C.	EXAMINER			
100 DUTCH H		BOYKIN, TERRESSA M			
SUITE 110 ORANGEBUR	G, NY 10962-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Ap	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10	0/532,029		KRULIS ET AL.			
		Ex	caminer		Art Unit			
		Te	erressa M. Boyl	kin	1796			
The MAILING Period for Reply	G DATE of this communi	cation appears	s on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress		
WHICHEVER IS LC - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	CATUTORY PERIOD FOOD NGER, FROM THE M. se available under the provisions om the mailing date of this comm pecified above, the maximum states set or extended period for reply to Office later than three months attement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. tutory period will ap will, by statute, caus	OF THIS CO In no event, howen ply and will expire se the application to	DMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to	o communication(s) file	d on <i>01 Dece</i>	mber 2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 2008</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.							
/ <u>—</u>		/ <b>—</b>			secution as to the	e merits is		
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1-3</i> i	s/are pending in the ap	plication.						
· · · ·	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.							
·	is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restric	tion and/or ele	ection require	ment.				
Application Papers	_ ,		·					
<u></u>	ion is objected to by the	Evaminar						
	ion is objected to by the i) filed on is/are:		od or b)□ obi	acted to by the F	Evaminor			
	·	-	-	-				
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	•	by the Exami	mer. Note the	attached Office	Action of form 1	10-102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.	_							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
	's Patent Drawing Review (P Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	TO-948)	5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/532,029 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but, after further searching and evaluating the claims, are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Finality of the previous rejection has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for those particular steps as disclosed on page 3 lines 24 and 25, does not reasonably provide enablement for <u>any</u> type of secondary aromatic amine as claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make any use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Case law holds that applicant's specification must be "commensurately enabling [regarding the scope of the claims]." See *Ex Parte Kung*, 17 USPQ2d 1545, 1547 (Bd. Pat. Appl. Inter. 1990). Otherwise **undue experimentation** would be involved in determining how to practice and use applicant's invention. The test for undue experimentation as to whether or not all compounds within the scope of claims 1-3c an be used as claimed and whether claims 1-3 meet the test is stated in *Ex parte Forman*, 230 USPQ 546, 547 (Bd. Pat. Appl. Inter. 1986) and *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Upon applying this test to claims 1-3, it is believed that undue experimentation **would** be required because:

(a) The quantity of experimentation necessary is **great** since claims 1-3 read on any type of secondary aromatic amine such as that which is claimed while not necessarily applying the particular steps as noted on page 3 lines 24 and 25 of the specification.

(c) There is an **absence** of working examples concerning the steps comprising <u>any</u> type of secondary aromatic amine other than those as disclosed on page 3 lines 24 and 25 of the specification.

It is noted that although the CCPA has criticized the use of the characterization "too broad" or "undue breadth"....however, an application whose claim(s) are of a breadth which are not adequately supported by its specification is in violation of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. In re Borkowski et al., (CCPA 1970) 424 F2d 904; In re Wakefield, (CCPA 1970 422 F2d 897; In re Hammack, (CCPA 197 In light of the above factors, it is seen that undue experimentation would be necessary to make and use the invention of claims 1-3.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terressa M. Boykin whose telephone number is 571 272-1069. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday- Friday 9:30-6:00 (work at home).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272-1078.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,029 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Terressa M. Boykin/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796